

Appendix 1

DRAFT LOCAL AUTHORITY REPORT

TO

THE SCHOOLS ADJUDICATOR

FROM

Central Bedfordshire Council

30 JUNE 2011

Report Cleared by: Central Bedfordshire Admissions Forum

Edwina Grant, Deputy Chief Executive/ Director of Children's Services

Date submitted: 30 June 2011

By: Rosa Bonwick, Admissions Manager

Contact email address: rosa.bonwick@bedford.gov.uk

Telephone number: 01234 276327

www.schoolsadjudicator.co.uk

FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR IN WHICH THE REPORT IS MADE - 2010 - 2011

Please complete using data/information for the period 1 September 2010 to date of report

NOTE: This template is designed to be filled in electronically – boxes can be expanded as necessary.

Fair Access Protocol

Code 4.9 a) (i) how well the Fair Access Protocol has worked and how many children have been admitted to each school in the area under the protocol;

NOTE: The Code at 3.44 requires (1) each local authority to have a Fair Access Protocol and (2) all schools <u>and</u> Academies to participate in their LA area's protocol

a) Please confirm that the LA has a Fair Access Protocol that has been agreed with all the relevant schools in its area (relevant schools are all maintained schools and academies).

Tick as appropriate:	Yes	✓	No			
If NO please explain:						

b) Give your assessment of how well the Fair Access Protocol has worked since 1 September 2010. In particular in placing children, the co-operation of schools and Academies as well as any other issues you have had in implementing the protocol.

The Fair Access Protocol has worked well in identifying schools for particular pupils. Schools have generally co-operated fully with placements but occasionally this is with reluctance.

So far this academic year (up until 27/05/11) 27 students have been placed in Central Bedfordshire Schools under the protocol.

c) In Appendix A, please record for each school the number of children considered to be placed in (column O) and those actually placed in (column P) to the school under the protocol between 1 September 2010 and the date of this report.

Appendix A shows the number of children identified for admission to each school (column O) and admitted (column P) under the Fair Access Protocol for the period 2 September 2010 to 27 May 2011. Some pupils identified for admission to a named school have yet to be admitted as their placement has only recently been identified. Planning for their admission is still taking place. This accounts for the differences, in some cases, between column O and column P.

Infant Class Sizes

Code 4.9 a) (ii) whether primary schools are complying with infant class size legislation

Are all Primary Schools in your area complying with infant class sizes?

- · ·				
Tick as appropriate:	Yes	No	\checkmark	

If NO please comment and also include the number of schools where qualifying measures are being taken:

Pulford VA Lower School has a published admission number of 30. The published admission number for YR has been exceeded as a result of a decision by an independent appeals panel. This is a permitted exception.

St Mary's VC Lower School has a published admission number of 45. The published admission number for YR has been exceeded as a result of a decision by an independent appeals panel. This is a permitted exception.

Gothic Mede Lower School has a published admission number of 51 with a twice yearly intake from the nursery. The school did not anticipate that there would be more than 30 reception aged children admitted in January. The school has employed an additional teacher for the current term as the remaining reception aged children were admitted after Easter.

Watling Lower School has a published admission number of 45. The school did not anticipate and had not planned for more than 30 children in the reception year. A number of late applications were received and as the school had not reached its published admissions number those places were offered. This resulted in a breach of infant class size limits. An additional teacher has now been employed.

Document Title: LA report [Central Bedfordshire Council] June11

Document Status: draft/approved

Thornhill Lower School has a published admission number of 40. There are more than 30 children in the reception class with one teacher, an HLTA and NNEB. The school is aware that this is not permitted, but has been unable to address the issues due to recruitment difficulties. Classes will be re-organised for September 2011 to ensure that infant class size limits are not breached.

Admission Appeals

Code 4.9 a) (iii) the number of admissions appeals held for each and every school* in the area, and the number of appeals that were upheld.

* Every school includes: community, voluntary controlled, voluntary aided, foundation, Academies, city technology colleges and city colleges for technology of the arts.

For the period 1 September 2010 to the date of this report please insert in Appendix A the following for each school:

- column Q the number of appeals held;
- column R the number of appeals upheld; and
- column S the number of appeals pending from the date of this report.

Appendix A has been completed for the period 1 September 2010 to 9 June 2011 to show the number of appeals held (column Q), the number of appeals upheld (column R) and the number of appeals still to be heard (column S). Appeals will continue to be received throughout the remainder of the academic year as late applications and in-year applications are processed.

Code 4.9 a) iv the extent to which the local authority and appeal panels in the area complied with the requirements of the Appeals Code, with reference to ensuring the timeliness and transparency of appeals, effective communications with parents and any other relevant matter.

NOTE: other appeals panels have a duty to provide you with information on appeals (Section 88Q of Schools Standards and Framework Act).

Has your independent appeals panel complied with the requirements of the Appeals Code?

If NO please explain including non-compliance and action taken:

No

Yes

Tick as appropriate:

Have all other appeals panels for own admission authority schools complied with the requirements of the Appeals Code?

N/A – all own admission authority schools use the local authority panels.

appropriate:	Yes	No	Don't Know	
	t Know please to obtain inforn		issues raised and if y	you have
been unable	to obtain imom	iation.		

FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR WHICH STARTS AFTER THE REPORT IS MADE – 2011-2012:

Code 4.9 b) (i) the extent to which admission arrangements for schools in the authority's area serve the interests of children in care, children with disabilities, children with special educational needs and service children.

NOTE: You may wish to point out if specialist staff from within the Council has contributed to this report and highlight any problems that may have occurred.

Children in care: All schools are aware of the requirement to admit 'looked after' children arriving in-year and it has not been necessary to issue any directions to schools.

Children with disabilities: All schools are required to have effective accessibility plans in place. Any school that submits a bid for funding from the 'Access Initiative' is required to forward a copy of their accessibility plan to the local authority before funding can approved.

Children with Special Educational Needs: The Parent Partnership Service gives impartial advice to parents of children with special educational needs and produces leaflets for parents to help them through the process.

Service Children: Places are allocated on receipt of a letter from the Ministry of Defence confirming relocation date and intended address.

Code 4.9 b) (ii) the effectiveness of co-ordination.

NOTE: You may wish to report on the authority's assessment of the effectiveness of any scheme for co-ordinating:

a) the admission of pupils to LA schools in September 2011

All schools complied with the requirements of the co-ordinated admission schemes. No Central Bedfordshire child, whose parents submitted an on-time application, is without a school place.

b) the admission of pupils in the authority's area to **other admission authority schools** in September 2011.

The admission of Central Bedfordshire pupils to schools in Bedford Borough was seamless as the two authorities have a shared School Admissions Service.

Although Central Bedfordshire has a different age of transfer from other neighbouring authorities, applications from residents for a secondary school place in those areas were received and communicated to the relevant authority. The decisions from those authorities were considered and the outcomes communicated to parents on national offer day.

FOR ADMISSION ARRANGEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN DETERMINED IN THE APRIL IMMEDIATELY BEFORE THE DATE OF THE REPORT IS MADE (determined by 15 April 2011 for admission in September 2012):

Code 4.9 c) (i) a statement of whether or not admission arrangements for maintained schools in the area complied with the mandatory requirements of this Code and admissions law.

NOTE: All non-compliant admission arrangements must be corrected. All mandatory requirements can be changed by the admission authority. Any other non-compliant issues must be referred to the OSA.

Are you satisfied that the admission arrangements for all maintained schools in your area are fully compliant with the Code?

Tick as appropriate: Yes ✓ No
If YES please provide a statement to confirm this: The admission arrangements for all maintained schools in the Central Bedfordshire Local Authority area are fully compliant with the Code.
If NO, please specify what action you are taking:

Using column T in Appendix A, please identify those schools that you have identified with problems now or which you have referred to the OSA, or may be referring to the OSA by the 31 July 2011.

None

OTHER MATTERS:

Admission Forum

Code 4.9 d) (i) details about the current membership of the Admission Forum for the area

NOTE: Please list the bodies represented and the number of representatives in each category. Do **NOT** give the names of members.

Group	Number of Representatives				
Local Authority:	1 Executive Member for Children's Services)				
Schools:					
Community	Upper School Head Teacher (vacancy for upper school) Middle School Head Teacher Lower School Head Teacher				
Voluntary Controlled	2 Governors or Head Teachers (currently 1 vacancy)				
Foundation / Trust	1 Upper School Head Teacher 1 Middle School Head Teacher (vacancy for middle school)				
Voluntary Aided	1 C of E Lower School Head Teacher 1 RC Lower School Head Teacher 1 C of E Middle School Head Teacher				
Religious Denominations:					
Church of England Diocese Roman Catholic Diocese	1 Diocesan Board Representative1 Diocesan Board Representative				
Academy:	1 All Saints Academy				
Parents:	2 Parent Representatives				
Local Community Representatives:	1 Ethnic Minority Groups				
•	1 Looked After Children 1 Armed Forces				

Is the Admission Forum	າ writing a report?
Tick as appropriate:	Yes No ✓
If YES is the report atta	ched or has it been sent separately?
Tick as appropriate:	Attached Separately
If separately please pro OSA? DATE:	ovide the date the report will or has been sent to the
Please confirm whether this LA report.	r the Admission Forum has seen, or will see, a copy of
Tick as appropriate	Line cook

Free School Meals

Code 4.9 d) (ii) the proportion of children currently on free school meals at each school in the area.

NOTE: The data provided by the Local Authority to the DCSF in January 2010 has been "cleaned" and is included in Appendix A.

The data in respect of some schools, marked with a cross (x), has been omitted from the DfE data. The Local Authority has been advised that where the number of children eligible for free school meals is very low the data has been omitted to ensure that individual children cannot be identified.

If the data for 2011 is significantly different from 2010 please state how it differs.

Table 1 below identifies those schools (excluding nursery and special schools) where the percentage of children eligible for free school meals has changed from 2010 by 5 percentage points or more.

Table 1:		
School		ipils known to be e school meals
	2011	2010
Clipstone Brook Lower	24.2%	16.8%
Downside Lower	43.9%	36.1%
Fairfield Park Lower	9.4%	3.5%
Hawthorn Park Lower	31.5%	20.7%
Hockliffe Lower	23.5%	14%
Houghton Conquest Lower	12.1%	5%
Kensworth Lower	19.2%	9.3%
Moggerhanger Lower	15.8%	8.2%
St Mary's RC Lower, Caddington	29.5%	11.8%
Stanbridge Lower	26.8%	9.3%
Stondon Lower	3.8%	10.3%
Sundon Lower	7.4%	13.6%
Thomas Johnson Lower	12%	4.5%
Thornhill Lower	29.9%	22.3%
Tithe Farm Lower	46.8%	39.8%
Woburn Lower	0%	7.3%

Using and interpreting the data, please comment on whether the allocation of school places meets parental preferences for those children on Free School Meals.

The local authority has no reason to believe that the preferences of those parents whose children are in receipt of free school meals will not have been met, provided there were places available at the preferred schools.

Code 4.9 d) (iii) any other matters which affect the fairness of admission arrangements for schools in the area.

NOTE: Please identify any issues not covered elsewhere on this template.

The local authority is not aware of any issues which might affect the fairness of admission arrangements for schools in the area.

OTHER ISSUES REQUESTED IN ADDITION THIS YEAR BY THE DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATION.

Choice Advice

Please complete with reference to Choice Advice provided to parents applying for a secondary school place for the 2011/2012 school year.

Appendix 5 of the Code requires local authorities to provide an independent Choice Advice service that is focused on supporting the families who most need support in navigating the secondary school admissions process (paragraph 5). Choice Advice must be independent and free from any potential conflict of interest between the need of the local authority to allocate places and the advice that parents receive (paragraph 8). As a minimum, local authorities must ensure that Choice Advisers are not in the same management chain or reporting lines as the local authority's admissions staff (paragraph 9).

 a) Please confirm that your local authority has an independent Choice Advice service in place.

Tick as appropriate:	Yes	No	\checkmark

A very limited Choice Advice Service was provided from 8 June 2010 until 31 December 2010. Due to the withdrawal of the Area Based Grant and the financial constraints on the Local Authority it has not been possible to continue to provide a Choice Advice Service.

b) Please explain how you ensure the independence of the Choice Advice provided (for example, the Choice Advice service may be situated in the Parent Partnership service or Family Information Service).

The Choice Advice Service was located within the Parent Partnership Service which operates at arm's length from the Local Authority and provides an independent and impartial service to parents.

b) Are your Choice Adviser(s) in the same line management chain or reporting lines as staff on the admissions team.

Tick as appropriate: Yes No ✓

Choice Advice must be targeted at those parents who most need support with the secondary school admissions process (paragraph 10). Local authorities and Choice Advisers should market their service to ensure that they reach the families most in need of their support and that other relevant agencies and professionals are aware of the service they provide (paragraph 11). Choice Advisers should be proactive in reaching 'hard to reach' parents and should develop good links with organisations that may be able to refer parents to them (paragraph 12).

d) Please explain how you ensure Choice Advice reaches those parents who are most in need of it.

During the Autumn term 2010 meetings were held with Admissions staff to identify and target those parents who had not submitted an application.

e) Describe how Choice Advice has contributed to the fairness of the admissions process.

Due to the very limited service provided it is difficult to know whether Choice Advice contributed to the fairness of the admissions process.

Local authorities may provide Choice Advice at the primary school admission stage and for in-year applications (paragraph 5).

f) Choice Advice is offered at the primary admissions stage?

Tick as appropriate: Yes ✓ No

Limited support was provided

g) Choice Advice is offered for in-year applications?

Tick as appropriate: Yes ✓ No

Limited support was provided to 'hard to reach' parents

It is good practice for Choice Advisers to provide support during the appeals process, particularly to those parents who accessed Choice Advice at the application stage.

h) The Choice Adv	iser provides s	upport during	the appeals process?
Tick as appropriate:	Yes ✓	No]
Limited support	was provided		
i) If no, do you p future?	lan to provide s	support during	the appeals process in
Tick as appropriate:	Yes	No]
	o which schools st of home to so	s and at what	r not school transport will cost (if any). Are details nd transport clearly set
If No, please provide ar	າ explanation		

OTHER ISSUES REQUESTED IN ADDITION THIS YEAR BY

DEPARTMENT.	ESTED IN ADDITION THIS TEAR BY
6 TH Forms	
Paragraphs 1.42 to 1.45 Year 12 and transfer fro	of the Code provide guidance on applications for my Year 11.
Do you have any 6 th for	ms within your Authority?
Tick as appropriate:	Yes ✓ No
If Yes, how Many?	9
Have you considered th recommendations of the	e admission arrangements for 6 th forms in line with e Code?
Tick as appropriate:	Yes ✓ No
Are you going to take ar	ny further action with regard to these arrangements? Yes No ✓
If Yes, please specify	what action you are taking:
<u>Aptitude</u>	
Paragraphs 2.78 to 2.82	2 provide guidance on partial selection by aptitude.
Do you have any schoo	ls which select pupils by aptitude for a subject?
Tick as appropriate:	Yes No ✓

If 'yes' how many?

If yes, do you check the tests that these Schools use to ensure that they are compliant with law?

Tick as appropriate: No Yes